Supplementary Material

A. Search Strategy:

(("Food Supply"[Mesh] OR "Food Supply"[tiab] OR "Food Supplies"[tiab] OR "Food Insecurity"[tiab] OR "Food Security"[tiab])) AND (((("Depression"[Mesh] or "Depression"[tiab] or "Depressions"[tiab] or "Depressive Symptom"[tiab] or "Depressive Symptoms"[tiab] or "Emotional Depressions"[tiab] or "Emotional Depressions"[tiab]) OR (("Anxiety"[Mesh] OR "Anxiety"[tiab] OR "Hypervigilance"[tiab] OR "Nervousness"[tiab] OR "Social Anxiety"[tiab] OR "Social Anxieties"[tiab])) OR (("Stress, Psychological"[Mesh] OR "Stress, Psychological"[tiab] OR "Psychological Stresses "[tiab] OR "Life Stresses"[tiab] OR "Psychological Stresses"[tiab] OR "Psychological Stresses"[tiab] OR "Suffering"[tiab] OR "Suffering"[tiab]))).

B. Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Form for Cohort Studies

Note: A study can be given a maximum of one point for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two points can be given for Comparability.

Selection (maximum of 4 points)

- 1) Representativeness of the exposed cohort
- a. Truly representative (one point)
- b. Somewhat representative (one point)
- c. Selected group
- d. No description of the derivation of the cohort
- 2) Selection of the non-exposed cohort
- a. Drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort (one point)
- b. Drawn from a different source
- c. No description of the derivation of the non exposed cohort
- 3) Ascertainment of exposure
- a. Secure record (e.g., surgical record) (one point)
- b. Structured interview (one point)
- c. Written self report
- d. No description
- e. Other

4) <u>Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study</u>a. Yes (one point)b. No
Comparability (maximum of 2 points)
1) Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders
a. The study controls for age, sex and marital status (one point)
b. Study controls for other factors (list) (one point)
c. Cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders
Outcome (maximum of 3 points)
1) Assessment of outcome
a. Independent blind assessment (one point)
b. Record linkage (one point)
c. Self report
d. No description
e. Other
2) Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur
a. Yes (one point)
b. No
Indicate the median duration of follow-up and a brief rationale for the assessment
above:
3) Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts

- a. Complete follow up- all subject accounted for (one point)
- b. Subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias- number lost less than or equal to 20% or description of those lost suggested no different from those followed. (one point)
- c. Follow up rate less than 80% and no description of those lost
- d. No statement

C. Adapted Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Form for Cross-sectional studies

Note: A study can be given a maximum of one point for each numbered item within the Selection and Comparability categories. A maximum of three points can be given for Comparability.

Selection (maximum of 5 points)

- 1) Representativeness of the sample
- a. Truly representative of the average in the target whole population (one point)
- b. Somewhat representative of the average in the target population (purposive sampling of representative schools or evidence that the sample is representative of the source population) (one point)
- c. Selected group of users
- d. No description of the sampling strategy
- 2) Sample size
- a. Justified and satisfactory (one point)
- b. Not justified
- 3) Non-respondents
- a. The response rate is satisfactory ($\geq 95\%$) (one point)
- b. The response rate is unsatisfactory (< 95%) or no description
- 4) Ascertainment of the exposure (absence or exclusion)
- a. Validated measurement tool: (two points)
- b. Non-validated measurement tool, but the tool is available or described: (one point)
- c. No description of the measurement tool.

Comparability (maximum 1 point)

- 1) The subjects in different outcome groups are comparable, based on the study design or analysis. Confounding factors are controlled
- a. The study investigates potencial confounders (one point)
- b. The study does not investigates potencial confounders

Outcome (maximum of 3 points)

- 1) Assessment of the outcome
- a. Independent blind assessment: (two points)
- b. Record linkage: (two points)

- c. Self-report (one point)
- d. No description

2) Statistical test

a. The statistical test used to analyse the data is clearly described and appropriate, and the measurement of the association is presented, including confidence intervals and the probability level (p value): (one point)

b. The statistical test is not appropriate, not described or incomplete

Studies scoring ≥7 points are classified as "low risk of bias/good quality"; scores between 2 and 6 indicate "unclear risk of bias/fair quality"; and a score ≤1 denotes "high risk of bias/poor quality

D. Assessment tool for Depression used by Smith et al. 2021 study

Table 1 Questions and answer options used for endorsement of DSM-IV depression

- 1. At least one of the two following symptoms in the last 12 months:
- (a) A period, lasting several days, of feeling sad, empty or depressed
- (b) A period lasting several days with a loss of interest in most things the participant usually enjoys such as personal relationships, work or hobbies/recreation

AND

2. The period of sadness/loss of interest/low energy lasted for more than two weeks and was most of the day and nearly every day

AND

- 3. Five or more of the following symptoms:
- (a) Loss of appetite
- (b) Insomnia (problems falling asleep or waking up too early)
- (c) Decreased energy or tiredness all the time
- (d) Slowing down in moving around or restless/jittery
- (e) Negative feelings/loss of confidence or frequent feelings of hopelessness.
- (f) Slowed thinking or difficulties concentrating (e.g., listening to others, working, watching TV, listening to the radio)
- (g) Thoughts of death, wishes of own death or suicide attempt
- (h) Feelings of sadness, emptiness or depression lasting several days
- (i) Anhedonia: loss of interest in things the participant usually enjoys